| 1  | STATE OF WISCONSIN  |   |
|----|---------------------|---|
| 2  |                     | Branch 8  |
| 3  |                     |   |
| 4  | LEONARD POZNER,     |   |
| 5  | Plai                | ntiff,  |
| 6  | VS.                 | Case No. 18 CV 3122   |
| 7  | JAMES FETZER, et a. |   |
| 8  | Defe                | ndants.   |
| 9  |                     |   |
| 10 | (PROCEEDINGS WEF    | RE HELD VIA ZOOM VIDEO CONFERENCE)                                  |
| 11 | DATE:               | April 25, 2024  |
| 12 | BEFORE:             | The Honorable FRANK D. REMINGTON                                    |
| 13 |                     |   |
| 14 | PROCEEDINGS:        | Status Conference   |
| 15 | APPEARANCES:        | RANDY J. PFLUM and EMILY M.   |
| 16 |                     | FEINSTEIN, Attorneys at Law,<br>Quarles & Brady, Madison,           |
| 17 |                     | Wisconsin, appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff.                     |
| 18 |                     | JAMES FETZER, Defendant, appeared                                   |
| 19 |                     | pro se.   |
| 20 |                     | OLIVIA JANE BROOKS, Attorney at Law, Reinhart, Boerner, Van Deuren, |
| 21 |                     | Milwaukee, Wisconsin, appeared on<br>behalf of State Bank of Cross  |
| 22 |                     | Plains, now doing business as Lake Ridge Bank.                      |
| 23 |                     |   |
| 24 |                     |   |
| 25 | ANI                 | M. ALBERT, RMR, CRR<br>Court Reporter                               |

| 1  | PROCEEDINGS   |
|----|---|
| 2  | THE COURT: All right. This is case 18 CV 3122,        |
| 3  | Leonard Pozner versus James Fetzer. May have I have   |
| 4  | the appearance for the plaintiff first, please.       |
| 5  | MR. PFLUM: Good morning, your Honor.                  |
| 6  | MR. FETZER: Yes.                                      |
| 7  | THE COURT: Hang on, Mr. Fetzer.                       |
| 8  | MR. PFLUM: Good morning, your Honor. Attorney         |
| 9  | Randy Pflum of Quarles & Brady appears on behalf of   |
| 10 | Leonard Pozner. And also with me appearing by Zoom is |
| 11 | Attorney Emily Feinstein.                             |
| 12 | THE COURT: And for the defendant?                     |
| 13 | MR. FETZER: Yes, your Honor. James Fetzer             |
| 14 | representing himself.                                 |
| 15 | THE COURT: All right. And we have Ms. Brooks.         |
| 16 | Ms. Brooks, are you sort of like                      |
| 17 | MS. BROOKS: Yes, your Honor. I represent              |
| 18 | garnishee State Bank of Cross Plains, which is now    |
| 19 | doing business as Lake Ridge Bank. I'm just here to   |
| 20 | I don't know what's going on in this case. I want     |
| 21 | to make sure I'm up to speed as well on many of the   |
| 22 | bank's obligations as garnishee here.                 |
| 23 | THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Brooks. Welcome.            |
| 24 | And James Fetzer appears.                             |

A couple of preliminary matters, Mr. Pflum, Ms.

Feinstein. The Court received a fax purportedly from Dr. Fetzer that contained child pornography. I turned that over to my bailiff, who turned it over to investigators with the Dane County Sheriff's Department, who I understand or I was told came out to see you, Dr. Fetzer. And I was told that, Doctor, you denied sending the fax, that you felt it was abhorrent behavior and, in other words, claimed that you had nothing to do with the transmission of child pornography through the facsimile mail system. 

MR. FETZER: That's correct, your Honor. It had a fake ID. I mean, this kind of stuff is child's play for anyone who's familiar with the internet. I had nothing to do with it, your Honor. I condemn it, and it was an obvious effort to smear me in the eyes of the Court.

THE COURT: Well, it didn't really work,

Dr. Fetzer. Here's what I thought when I first got

it. It appeared to me rather childish. Mr. Pflum,

Ms. Feinstein, you didn't see it, but truth be told,

the fax was a fax of a photocopy of a photocopy and,

quite honestly, one would have to strain their eyes to

discern the pornographic nature of it.

I think a lot of things, Dr. Fetzer, of you and the positions you hold. I didn't actually -- I

quickly came to the conclusion that I felt that you had nothing to do with it by nature of its simplicity and it's sort of patent unbelievable. I mean,

Dr. Fetzer, if you were gonna send child pornography by facsimile, I don't think you'd put your name on it, truth be told.

So we followed up. I want to assure you,

Dr. Fetzer, I didn't think it came from you. And that

I received it from someone bears no relevance and

plays no part in how I decide the issues in this case.

I do think it came from someone else. It's disturbing
in that somebody else thinks that a fax containing

child pornography with your name on it somehow or

another affects me, the system. It does not. But I

just wanted to raise that, Mr. Pflum and Ms.

Feinstein, because there was communications, albeit
indirect, between the court system, the Sheriff's

Department, and I just wanted to alert you to that

with the final conclusion and say no more that it has
no bearing and plays no part and doesn't affect my
decision moving forward in this case.

Second, we're on the Court's calendar because

I'm remanded. I think the appropriate order on remand
is for the Court to vacate its order garnishing the

monies held by then State Bank of Cross Plains for the

- 1 reasons stated in the appellate decision. Do you
- 2 agree, Mr. Pflum?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 3 MR. PFLUM: Yes, your Honor. I believe that's correct.
- 5 THE COURT: All right. Third, then what to do 6 going forward?
- Ms. Brooks, does -- has -- all the time this

  case has been working through the appellate court, has

  the State Bank been holding Dr. Fetzer's money? Does

  it still hold Dr. Fetzer's money?
- MS. BROOKS: Your Honor, no. I was looking back
  through emails, and we were advised by plaintiff's
  attorneys that UW Credit Union had disbursed the
  funds, and we were authorized to release the hold that
  Lake Ridge Bank had on them. So there's not been a
  hold.
- THE COURT: All right. So what do we do today,

  Mr. Pflum?
  - MR. PFLUM: Well, your Honor, I think it's appropriate -- we think it's appropriates to set an evidentiary hearing on plaintiff's motion to disburse funds which was filed a year ago today in document 557 and Dr. Fetzer's objection thereto to have the Court make a ruling on what funds are -- whether or not we are entitled to the \$2,004.46, which I believe is now

held currently by Dr. Fetzer -- or excuse me -
Mr. Pozner.

2.4

THE COURT: Okay. Just so I can understand context, I want to go back to March 17th. That was the first garnishment hearing. At that time, I think I determined then and I guess now State Bank of Cross Plains was not involved, and at least at that time Attorney Davenport logged off the hearing.

The Court addressed the issue of the funds in UW Credit Union account. Attorney Pflum indicates Social Security Administration benefits are not subject to garnishment, but other funds are commingled, and they are unable to tell what is subject to exemption.

Janice Fetzer, Dr. Fetzer's wife, was sworn and testified.

The plaintiff in March asked the account history for the UW Credit Union for all of 2022. I think I ordered that be produced, 30 days to review. We had a further discussion of two UW Credit Union accounts. I directed Janice Fetzer to make copies of the UW Credit Union bank statements for both accounts for the last 12 months and provide them to Attorney Pflum on or before March 31st. I continued the hearing at that time.

Now, here's the mistake I made. And I don't

have any problem saying I made a mistake. I did. And for that, I apologize for the delay in taking this to the Court of Appeals and back.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When we got back together on -- well, we didn't get back together. I'm looking at the -- Mr. Pflum, you submitted on April 25th an affidavit and you moved to distribute the funds.

MR. PFLUM: Yes, your Honor. And I also apologize to the Court. This motion should have requested a hearing and then requested distribution of That's what our motion should have included. funds. Following the March 17th hearing, um, your Honor ordered that I either -- so your Honor ordered three things. First, Ms. Fetzer provide us with the bank statements, which she did. I reviewed those, prepared the motion to disburse funds, which I filed on April 25, 2023. And in that motion, I needed to ask the Court whether -- to set a hearing to discuss the -- to discuss whether or not we are in fact entitled to the \$2,004.46. And that's what I was hoping to accomplish today is to get that -- to get that hearing on the Court's calendar.

THE COURT: So, um, the money that's in play, is that -- is that held, the \$2,437.60, held by State Bank of Cross Plains?

1 MR. PFLUM: No, your Honor. The \$2,004.46 is 2 currently held by the plaintiff, Mr. Pozner. 3 THE COURT: And how did Mr. Pozner get the 4 money? 5 MR. PFLUM: Based off of your order, UW Credit 6 Union cut him a check --7 THE COURT: Okay. So it came out --MR. PFLUM: -- which then --8 9 THE COURT: All right. So I'm looking at 10 document number 557, which is your motion. And that's 11 on paragraph 10, that's how you come up with 12 \$2,004.46. MR. PFLUM: Yes, your Honor. And I will note 13 14 for the Court that these numbers are based on a further reconciliation by Ms. Fetzer of money flowing 15 16 in and out of her UW account and then also looking in 17 the aggregate of at the time State Bank of Cross 18 Plains' answer showed that there were \$2,437 in that 19 account, and then Summit Credit Union's answer of \$4,606. 20 21 THE COURT: All right. Well, of course, it goes 22 without saying -- I think you're implying this as well, Mr. Pflum -- Mr. Pozner should hang on to 23 Dr. Fetzer's money until further order of the Court. 24 25 MR. PFLUM: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: It may be that he returns it or keeps it, subject to further determinations after briefing and opportunity occur.

2.4

Dr. Fetzer, so I think what is proposed and what I understand needs to be done is turn back the hands of time. The plaintiff filed a motion for distribution of funds on April 25th of last year, document number 557. That's the one which I acted on without you having an opportunity to respond. I propose we just issue — set a briefing schedule on the pending motion with a new date to return.

When can you respond to plaintiff's motion?

MR. FETZER: Well, I have in fact already responded, your Honor, when I appealed to the Court of Appeals for the Fourth District pointing out that, with all respect to Attorneys Pflum and Feinstein, this was sloppy, slovenly work. They were including a reimbursement to the account from my daughter because she had -- my wife, who's being reimbursed for shopping, it included instructions for her, my wife's, tax returns, your Honor. There was no merit whatsoever, which I would have explained at the hearing, had it been held.

If your Honor would simply review my submissions to the Court, it's obvious there is nothing to this

but a form of harassment, which I'm becoming increasingly aggravated about. This money should never have been taken from the account. It deserves to be returned. And it's a waste of the Court's time and an abuse of the judicial process that this was even brought, your Honor.

THE COURT: Dr. Fetzer, I don't have in my file the documents that you filed in the Court of Appeals. There are essentially two records. There is the appellate record and the circuit court records. Now, I might be able to find them, but I would feel a lot better if you would just then resubmit to me in the circuit court all the evidence and arguments you have opposing the motion. It may be just you cutting and pasting and submitting it. But then I'll know that I won't make another mistake and be confused as to why it is you believe I should deny the motion.

MR. FETZER: Yes. I'll be glad to do that, your Honor. And incidentally, ten months ago I forwarded Leonard Pozner a check for \$20,000, having sold our vacation trailer, which Mr. Pflum had sought to put up for auction by a judge, but had no bids for \$30,000, which would have returned him \$15,000. We actually decided we wanted to part with the trailer. I notified him I was going to do that. When we sold the

1 trailer, I sent him a check for \$20,000. To the best of my knowledge, that has never been formally 2 3 acknowledged by Mr. Pflum, and I would appreciate him doing so here and now. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Let's come back to that in a moment. Let me finish. 6 7 Dr. Fetzer, today is April 24th (sic). When can you provide a response to plaintiff's motion? 8 9 MR. FETZER: Since the documents are already written, your Honor, it could be done -- I can do it 10 11 this weekend. 12 THE COURT: Well, how about a week from today? MR. FETZER: Yes. 13 THE COURT: May 1st. Oh, that's a week -- May 14 15 2nd. 16 Mr. Pflum, how many days thereafter for a reply? 17 MR. PFLUM: Ten days, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: May 13th. 19 Heather, let's have the parties come back for an 20 oral argument hearing and what I would assume, hope to be an oral decision granting or denying it a couple 21 22 weeks thereafter. 23 MR. FETZER: This day of the week, your Honor, 24 works for me. I'm doing many shows on the internet,

but this Thursdays 10:00 p.m. (sic) is workable for

25

- me, your Honor. So if we could stay on that Zoom schedule, that will work.
- 3 THE COURT: All right. A Thursday, Heather.
- 4 THE CLERK: I don't have a Thursday in May. I
- 5 can go to June, if you'd like.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay. Let's accommodate Mr.
- 7 -- Dr. Fetzer's request for a Thursday.
- 8 THE CLERK: Okay. June 13th at 8:30?
- 9 MR. FETZER: No, no, no. It's gotta be at
- 10:00. I have a show at 9:00. I could not do it at
- 11 8:30. I just finished a show today, and I was glad
- that it worked for the Court's schedule. So it needs
- 13 to be at 10:00.
- 14 THE COURT: Can it be 10:00 on any day, or just
- 15 Thursday?
- MR. FETZER: No. Just Thursday, your Honor. I
- 17 have so many shows I'm doing on the internet. If it
- were guaranteed to end in less than an hour, my first
- show, Monday, Wednesday, Friday is at 11:00, so it
- could be a Tuesday or a Thursday, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: I don't anticipate spending more
- than an hour on a Zoom given that the parties will
- have briefed the issue. So tell me what days and what
- time do you prefer, Dr. Fetzer.
- 25 MR. FETZER: Well, as long as we're done by

- 1 11:00, I could do it any day of the week, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 3 MR. FETZER: My preference is a Tuesday or a
- 4 Thursday, however, because there's a lot of
- 5 preparation involved in doing these programs.
- 6 THE CLERK: The 13th at 10:00 a.m.?
- 7 Mr. PFLUM: I'm sure the 13th does not work for
- 8 me. I have a conflict that day. I am free -- I'm
- 9 free June 6th, and then I'm also free June 20th. But
- 10 unfortunately, the 13th I'm not.
- 11 THE CLERK: We can't do either of those dates.
- 12 June 11th at 10:00 a.m.?
- MR. FETZER: That works for me, your Honor, June
- 14 11th.
- 15 THE CLERK: One second, please.
- MR. PFLUM: I'm sorry, folks. This is Randy
- 17 Pflum. I can do it at 11:00 -- I'm sorry -- at 10:00.
- 18 THE CLERK: 10:00.
- MR. PFLUM: I can make that work.
- 20 THE CLERK: June 11th at 10:00.
- 21 MR. PFLUM: Thank you. Thank you very much.
- 22 THE COURT: Now, I hesitate to sort of step into
- 23 this issue of -- Mr. Pflum, I think what Dr. Fetzer is
- asking for is a document, a partial satisfaction, if
- in fact the creditor received \$15,000 or \$20,000, that

- would memorialize in the court record a partial satisfaction of judgment.
- MR. PFLUM: Understood, your Honor. Yes, we did
  receive \$20,000 from Mr. Fetzer's sale of his mobile
  home, but I'm happy to put that partial satisfaction
  on the record, or on the court's record.
- 7 THE COURT: Do that within ten days.
- 8 MR. PFLUM: Understood.
- 9 THE COURT: Dr. Fetzer, what that is is it's a
  10 single piece of paper. It's a common business form
  11 and can be created. That is a written record that the
  12 judgment is partially satisfied, acknowledging receipt
  13 of those funds. The plaintiff will do that within the
  14 next ten days.
- MR. FETZER: I appreciate that, your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. Anything further, Mr. Pflum,
  17 for us this morning?
- MR. PFLUM: No, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Dr. Fetzer, anything further?
- MR. FETZER: We're good, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Brooks, do you have 22 anything you need from the Court?
- MS. BROOKS: I guess I'm a little bit -- I'm

  curious as to whether anybody has any belief that

  State Bank of Cross Plains, Lake Ridge Bank should be

- holding any funds 'cause they have been released as of last May.
- THE COURT: I think the answer is no; right,

  Mr. Pflum?

5 MR. PFLUM: Correct because the funds subject to 6 this are currently being held by Mr. Pozner.

MS. BROOKS: Okay. I just want to make sure I understand the bank's obligations here. So if that changes, let me know and I would have to obviously investigate the current status of the account. I don't know -- I don't know what that is. So just keep me posted, Attorney Pflum. I won't plan to attend any more hearings, and I'll just wait to hear from you or from the Court.

THE COURT: I assume that this is a retrospective analysis of a garnishment that occurred at a point in time in the past. State Bank of Cross Plains has discharged its obligations under the law. To the extent that State Bank of Cross Plains is implicated further, it would be only after the filing a new and separate garnishment proceeding, and at that time, Ms. Brooks, the State Bank, the bank, would, as any other bank would, undertake an inquiry as to what funds are being held and respond then and there appropriately directly to the garnishee -- garnishee?

| _   | Gainishoi: One of the ee's of of s.                    |
|-----|--|
| 2   | MS. BROOKS: Garnishor. I appreciate that               |
| 3   | clarification, your Honor. That helps a lot.           |
| 4   | THE COURT: All right. Have a good rest of the          |
| 5   | day. We're adjourned.                                  |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | STATE OF WISCONSIN )                                   |
| 8   | ) ss:<br>COUNTY OF DANE )                              |
| 9   |  |
| LO  | I, ANN M. ALBERT, Court Reporter, do hereby            |
| L1  | certify that I reported in stenographic machine        |
| L2  | shorthand the hearing held in the above-entitled       |
| L3  | matter before the Honorable FRANK D. REMINGTON, on the |
| L 4 | 25th day of April, 2024, and that the foregoing is an  |
| L5  | accurate and complete transcript of my shorthand notes |
| L 6 | and the whole thereof.                                 |
| L7  | Dated this 26th day of April, 2024.                    |
| L 8 | Electronically signed by:                              |
| L9  | Ann M Albert   |
| 20  | Court Reporter   |
| 21  |  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  |  |
| 25  |  |