

STATE OF WISCONSIN

CIRCUIT COURT

DANE COUNTY

LEONARD POZNER,

CASE TYPE: DEFAMATION

Plaintiff

vs.

MOTION FOR EXPANSION
OF DNA TESTING

JAMES FETZER,
MIKE PALECEK,
and WRONGS WITHOUT WREMEDIES, LLC,

Defendants

CASE NO. 2018-CV-003122

I, James Fetzer, representing myself pro se, in response to Court's granting of Plaintiff's Motion for Paternity Test pursuant to Wis. Stat. 885.23, move for an Order for Expanded DNA Testing as follows:

BACKGROUND

1. Among the most disputed issues in this case is the identity of Plaintiff, who calls himself "Leonard Pozner", and of his purported deceased son, known as "Noah Pozner", which Defendant Fetzer believes to be fake names for persons known as Reuben Vabner and his biological son, Michael Vabner, allegedly born of Veronique de la Rosa.

2. Kelley Watt was the first student of the Sandy Hook Elementary School event (SHES) to notice a similarity between photographs of the party known as "Noah Pozner" and the person who has been presented as his older step-brother, Michael Vabner (See Exhibits A, B, C, and D), which, like other exhibits included here, accompanied Defendant's Second Set of Requests for Admissions, which was previously uploaded to the eFile system on 5 February 2019. Among the blogs about her research on SHES, "Is Noah's Older Step-Brother, Michael Vabner, Noah 'All Grown Up'? Or is Noah simply Michael as a child?" (27 January 2016), stands out as especially

interesting in this context. The comments reflect the importance of this question to SHES students:

memoryholeblog.org/2016/01/27/is-noahs-older-step-brother-michael-vabner-noah-all-grown-up

3. Defendant's Second Set of Requests for Admissions (5 February 2019), therefore, included some of the photographs that appear to support Kelley Watt's conjecture, wherein Plaintiff's Response to Defendant Fetzer's Second Set of Requests for Admissions (27 March 2019) admits that Exhibit A "appears to be a screen capture of a website for Michael Vabner"; that Exhibit B "appears to be an image of Michael Vabner"; that the two images on the left side of Exhibit C "appear to be reproductions of pictures of Noah Pozner" and that the two images on the right side of Exhibit C "appear to be reproductions of pictures of Michael Vabner"; and that Exhibit D "appears to be a low resolution or otherwise pixelated photograph of Noah Pozner". And in Response to No. 57, Plaintiff admits that the image of a U.S. passport "appears to be a reproduction of a scanned image of one page from Noah Samuel Pozner's U.S. Passport".

4. Among the oddities of the press conference that was held by then-Chief Medical Examiner for the State of Connecticut, H. Wayne Carver II, was his announcement that the parents were not allowed to see the bodies of their children, which were instead identified on the basis of photographs, which thereby created the opportunity to create fictitious victims out of photographs of other kids when they were young. Carver's statements can be verified from videos broadcast at the time of the press conference on 14 December 2012: www.youtube.com/watch?v=k3NS1ILo6As

5. Because of its extraordinary significance as an example of faking alleged decedents by the expedient of using photos of older kids when they were younger, Defendant Fetzer asked his JFK

research colleague, Larry Rivera to assist in this matter. Larry, the most competent expert of his acquaintance on photogrammetry—the application of mathematics to the study of photographs—has explained its principles in relation to his proof that Lee Harvey Oswald, the accused assassin of JFK, was in fact standing in the doorway of the Texas School Book Depository as the JFK motorcade passed by. This result means that Oswald not only cannot have been the lone gunman but cannot have even been one of the shooters. See, “The Man in the Doorway Identified” (6 November 2015): www.academia.edu/24943321/The_man_in_the_doorway_identified

Defendant requested that Larry compare Exhibit B with Exhibit D—without identifying who they were or even the context in which their identity assumed importance—where Larry verified that, given the difference in age, they appear to be one-and-the-same person, as Exhibit E displays.

6. Larry Rivera also created a GIF in which one can see “Noah Pozner” turn into Michael Vabner (which Defendant Fetzer has most recently published as the featured image of “SANDY HOOK: Why are there 4 versions of Noah Pozner’s death certificate?”): jamesfetzer.org/2019/04/sandy-hook-why-are-there-4-versions-of-noah-pozners-death-certificate

7. Other documents and records also seem to have been fabricated in this case, which appears to include a passport in the name of “Noah Samuel Pozner”, included here as Exhibit F. Plaintiff has admitted that this “appears to be a reproduction of a scanned image of one page from Noah Samuel Pozner’s U.S. Passport”. A new study by Mona Alexis Pressley, “Did ‘Lenny Pozner’ fabricate a passport for ‘Noah’?”, appears to have confirmed the fabrication, which if true, would qualify as a federal crime:

jamesfetzer.org/2019/03/mona-alexis-pressley-did-lenny-pozner-fabricate-a-passport-for-noah

8. Using these among their resources, six other SHES students collaborated to determine whether or not Kelley's conjecture—that Michael Vabner is “Noah Pozner” all grown up or that “Noah Pozner” is Michael Vabner as a child—was indeed the case and established (on the basis of photographic comparisons) that they had the same eyes, the same eyebrows, the same nose, the same mouth, the same ears and the same skull shape, which Defendant Fetzer published in his blog, jamesfetzer.blogspot.com, which mysteriously disappeared along with other blogs, but was archived by other SHES students, included here as Exhibit G, “Sandy Hook Charade: ‘Noah Pozner’ was Michael Vabner as a Child” (17 February 2017).

9. Not only has Defendant Fetzer had some of his most important blogs mysteriously disappear, but others who have interviewed Defendant Fetzer about SHES have had their blogs, videos and (even) entire websites taken down in a blatant—even brazen—effort to suppress the publication of research about SHES. Mike Adams, who has been ranked the second most influential figure on the Internet, for example, had his entire website—which archived over 1,700 videos and had 200,000+ subscribers—taken down after he had been flagged for an interview with Defendant Fetzer from 2015 about amazon.com having banned his book, *Nobody Died at Sandy Hook: It was a FEMA Drill to Promote Gun Control* (2015). In early 2018, Mike did an interview with Defendant Fetzer, published as “The Most Dangerous Mind in America interviewed about false flags and extreme censorship” (6 May 2018):

www.naturalnews.com/2018-05-06-the-most-dangerous-mind-in-america-interviewed-about-false-flags-and-extreme-censorship.html

10. Plaintiff declares that his HONR Network was founded after SHES “to end the continued harassment and intentional torment of the victim’s families, who suffered abuse and additional

anguish after being targeted by online hoax and hate purveyors”—later expanded, as “additional mass-casualty events occurred”—to include “the families of other victims” who “similarly became targets, and reached out for help.” Plaintiff writes on his website that “the HONR Network mission has evolved even further . . . to provide guidance and a network of support for all affected by online harassment, hate campaigns and victimization, to provide education for professionals dealing with the effects of harassment in the workplace and on campuses, and to provide policy assistance for online platforms and legislators seeking to make the internet a safer, more inclusive place for all.” Defendant Fetzer has established that Plaintiff acquired the HONR domain name in 2005, seven years before SHES. And, during a recent interview, Plaintiff boasted that his network had been responsible for removing some 10,000 “content items” from the Internet, where a blog or a video would count as only one “content item”, as Defendant Fetzer has documented in his “Lenny Pozner’s HONR Network: There is no honor among thieves” (2 April 2019):

jamesfetzer.org/2019/04/lenny-pozners-honr-network-there-is-no-honor-among-thieves

ARGUMENT

11. These exhibits are presented for the sole purpose of illustrating the complexities of this case with regard to photographs and other records, such as passports, that would normally be taken for granted as authentic. In this case, there has been so much manipulation to conceal what happened at SHES—a FEMA exercise presented as mass murder to promote the Obama administration’s gun control agenda—that unusual efforts are required to sort out truth from fiction. Defendant Fetzer submits that expanded DNA testing could help to sort out what appears to be a complex scam.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF


12. Given the Court has approved Plaintiff’s Motion for DNA testing of samples said to be of decedent, Noah Pozner, therefore, Defendant moves that additional DNA be conducted of (a)

Leonard Pozner, (b) Reuben Vabner, (c) Michael Vabner and (d) Veronique de la Rosa as critical evidence in the interest of truth, justice and the public welfare.

Defendant likewise moves that a sample of the DNA putatively used for the testing of “Noah Pozner” be provided to Defendant for independent testing, and that a second DNA sample be taken of Plaintiff Leonard Pozner when he is subjected by Defendants to a video deposition.

Date: 20 April 2019

Signed: _____



James Fetzer

EXHIBIT A

Page from Website of Michael Vabner

A Little About Me...



Hello! Welcome to my webpage, my name is Michael Vabner and I am a senior at the University of Connecticut at Storrs, CT. I am currently pursuing my Bachelor of Economics and expect to graduate this coming May 2017. My objective for my career post graduation would be a position where I can put on different hats and expand my skill set. My previous experience includes two internships at the InfoReliance Corporation in Fairfax VA and as a member of the UConn Association of Computing Machinery.

EXHIBIT B

Another Photograph of Michael Vabner



EXHIBIT C

Photos of “Noah Pozner” and of Michael Vabner

[Editor's note: This is the thesis first advanced by Kelley Watt (in a blog that has disappeared from this site, but which was published in *Nobody Died at Sandy Hook* (2nd edition, 2016), as Appendix D. Here is the key photo from that chapter, where everyone Kelley has shared it with has agreed that Noah is Michael Vebner as a child:

Is Noah Michael Vabner?



Noah and Michael / Noah and Arielle / Michael and Danielle

EXHIBIT D

Another Photo of “Noah Pozner”



EXHIBIT E

From “Noah Pozner” to Michael Vabner





EXHIBIT F

Scan of Passport for “Noah Samuel Pozner”



EXHIBIT G

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 2017

Sandy Hook Charade: “Noah Pozner” was Michael Vabner as a Child

Jim Fetzer

<http://jamesfetzer.blogspot.com/2017/02/sandy-hook-charade-noah-pozner-was.html>

In “Is Noah’s Older Step-Brother, Michael Vabner, Noah “all grown up?” (27 January 2016), Kelley Watt was the first to suggest that the person Lenny Pozner claims to have died at Sandy Hook on 14 December 2012, Noah, was actually his purported older step-brother, Michael Vabner, as a child. Here are some images that Kelley has offered in support:

Is Noah Michael Vabner?



Noah and Michael / Noah and Arielle / Michael and Danielle

Here’s an interview with Kelley Watt in which we review the evidence, where her hypothesis at this point was a fascinating conjecture but where many to whom she had shown the photographs had no hesitation in affirming that, in their view, based on their experience, Michael is Noah “all grown up”!

According to Lenny Pozner, who purports to be his father, Noah was a first grade student at Sandy Hook Elementary School on 14 December 2012, when he and 19 other children were massacred by Adam Lanza. Here is a passport image declaring his date of birth to have been 20 November 2006:



If Noah was born on 20 November 2006, then he would have been six years old, which would be appropriate to have been a 1st grader at Sandy Hook Elementary School on 14 December 2012. We now have multiple proofs that falsify this scenario and confirm the hypothesis that Noah is Michael as a child.

PROOF 1: NOAH AND SPIDERMAN ([Anonymous2](#))

Here we have a frame from a video of Noah talking about a scene from “Spiderman” (2002). You can watch the video by clicking [Noah and Spiderman](#). From the vivid details of his recollection, Anonymous2 infers that Noah had just seen the movie, which would make him around 4 years old in 2002:



At the time of the event at Sandy Hook on 14 December 2012, however, Noah was supposed to be 6 years old. He cannot have been 4 years old in 2002 and

only 2 years older in 2012. He would have been around 14 at the time of the alleged shooting, which would better fit Michael Vabner, not Noah.

PROOF 2: IT'S NOAH BY AN EAR (Dr. Eowyn)

We have more photographs of Noah and of Michael to work with today, including the following, which invite comparisons of their ears. As has become more widely known, ears are as distinctive as fingerprints. So having the same ears would be another strong proof that they are not two different people:



Dr. Eowyn cropped photos of the two and focused on their ears. Here is her side-by-side comparison below. At first, Noah's left ear appeared to have a smaller lobe than Michael's left ear. But a picture of Noah's right ear reveals a lobe like Michael's. Her conclusion: *they have the same ears!*




If Noah and Michael have the same ears and ears are as distinctive as fingerprints, then we have a proof that Noah and Michael are one and the same that is as powerful as fingerprints, which of course are frequently introduced in courts of law. (See if you can tell which ears Dr. Eowyn selected for comparison. Try one of your own!)

PROOF 3: THEY HAVE THE SAME EYES (Dennis Cimino)


Dennis Cimino has done exquisite work on the alteration of the most famous photograph from the assassination of JFK--[the Altgens6](#), as it is technically known--so I was confident that he could make valuable contributions to our analysis of the Noah/Michael mystery. He agrees with Eowyn about their ears and adds that their eyes also seem to be the same:



In “[Sandy Hook: More on Noah Pozner and Michael Vabner](#)”, Anonymous (as opposed to Anonymous2) observed that these photos looked photoshopped, which Dennis confirms with several abnormalities, including that the head is disproportionately large on the left:



Not only was this setting not a modern library, as Anonymous observed, but the neck discoloration top/mid neck to bottom neck and chest and the odd brown thing on the right (our left) side of his neck indicate that this one, like the others, has been photoshopped:




Moreover, as Dennis has remarked, why would there be any reason to alter them unless something important was at stake, such as creating a false photographic trail to convey the false impression that “Noah” was years younger than his actual age as Michael?

PROOF 4: THEY ARE THE SAME PERSON (Larry Rivera)

Larry Rivera has done brilliant research proving that [the figure known as “Doorman” standing in the doorway of the Texas School Book Depository was in fact Lee Oswald](#), as Harold Weisberg, Jim Garrison and other serious students of JFK have believed. So I was sure he could help to resolve this issue by superposition:



Larry found that these were the photos that best facilitated the superposition, where the eyes, the eyebrows and the mouth align almost perfectly. The key is fixing the interpupillary distance (between the pupils of their eyes) the same. I knew he could help to resolve this issue by superposition. Here is a series that demonstrates that in spite of their age difference, considering normal growth, *Noah and Michael are one and the same*:



This has to be one of the most powerful proofs yet that Sandy Hook was a hoax. Lenny had already been outed by having sent Kelley Watt a “death certificate” for Noah Pozner that turned out to be a fabrication. If Noah had died at Sandy Hook, Lenny would not

have had to fake it. This is another example of the power of collaborative research using the internet--*and further proof that Sandy Hook was an elaborate charade.*

CONCLUDING REFLECTIONS

For additional substantiation, check out *Nobody Died at Sandy Hook* (2015). The power of the internet as an instrument for research has proven itself, where the work of Anonymous, Anonymous2, Dr. Eowyn, Dennis Cimino and Larry Rivera has demonstrated that Kelley Watt had it right: *“Noah Pozner” is a fiction made from real and altered photos of his purported older half-brother, Michael Vebner, as a child.*

Acts of terrorism are designed to instill fear into a population to make its members more amenable to manipulation to promote a political agenda. The Sandy Hook hoax involved the highest echelons of the US government, which must be held responsible for the evil it has perpetrated and the pain and suffering that the public has had to endure. This was an act of terrorism by the American government upon the American people.

Jim Fetzer, a former Marine Corps officer, is McKnight Professor Emeritus at the University of Minnesota Duluth.